

Academic Integrity Officer (AIO) – Roles and Responsibilities Guidelines

Version 4.1 - 16.6.23

Document owner: Dean, Learning and Teaching, Professor Nina Fotinatos
Reference in the Academic Integrity Procedure LT 1944 and Academic Misconduct Procedure LT2062

Scope

- Relevant for all Institutes and Global Professional School Academic Integrity Officers (AIO)
- Academic integrity issues are supported by Academic Integrity Officers aligned to the owner of the courses (ie third party providers who deliver courses owned by Institutes, will be referred to as Institute Academic Integrity Officers)
- Academic Integrity Officers also respond to academic integrity issues related to Higher Degree Research (HDR) students

Role and Responsibilities

- Read and understand the University Wide Academic Integrity Engagement Strategy
- Read and understand the <u>Academic Integrity Procedure LT 1944</u>, <u>Academic Misconduct Procedure LT2062</u> and associated support forms and documents (ie <u>Academic Misconduct Determination Guidelines</u> and <u>Artificial Intelligence Guidelines</u>)

Recommended Training

- Cultural awareness training module
- External professional development/training in academic integrity
- University-wide 'Community of Practice' for academic integrity
- Professional Learning Module targeted at the Academic Integrity Officer (See <u>University Wide Academic</u>
 Integrity Engagement Strategy)

Committee/Meeting Engagement

- Meet with all Institute Academic Integrity Officers (AIO) and Directors, Learning and Teaching (DLT) to discuss trends and report on strategies for improvements and preventative actions
- The Academic Integrity Officer may be asked to attend Institute Board or University Learning and Teaching Committee to provide advice regarding any discipline/Institute trends, strategies for enhancement and preventative actions
- Academic Integrity Officers may be asked to present allegations against students at Student Misconduct
 Committees.

Support Institute Staff

- Provide professional development opportunities to Institute staff focused on an educative approach
- Provide advice and support to Institute academic staff regarding Academic Integrity process with an
 educative emphasis (See the Academic Misconduct Reporting Sample Reporting Workflow)
 - Academic Integrity interpretation
 - Collection of evidence
 - Likely outcomes
 - o End point of call regarding submission of any Academic Integrity case



Support Academic Staff with Managing Academic Integrity Investigations

- Chair Institute Academic Integrity Committee meetings
- Lead/ be involved in formal reporting process refer to the Academic Misconduct Reporting See Figure 1
- Understand the student-facing integrity resources (such as the Academic Integrity Module)
- **Misconduct allegations:** investigate, decide and communicate outcomes from plagiarism and misconduct allegations.
- **Grade appeals:** may have responsibility for the determination of grade appeals.

Support Students with Managing Academic Concerns

- Chair student appeal hearings for Academic Misconduct allegations
- Complete detailed reports following student appeal hearings for Academic Misconduct allegations
- Educate students charged with Academic Misconduct about their Academic Integrity obligations
- Refer students to University Student Services when and where appropriate

Continuous Quality Assurance towards Academic Integrity

- The Chair, Learning and Teaching Quality Committee will provide Academic Integrity Officers with institutional data at least once a year (aim for twice a year).
- The Institute Academic Integrity Officers should work together to develop one overarching Institute summary aligned to responding to data trends at the discipline/Institute level. As part of continuous quality assurance, the reports will highlight the following:
 - Key trends, issues, successes, recommendations and preventative actions (This will be reviewed every 6 months and updated reports are issued to Institutes/Schools)
- This report should be disseminated and discussed with Institute/School staff and the Institute Director, Learning and Teaching.
- This report should be submitted in July and December (each year) to the university Learning and Teaching Quality Committee (via Academic Secretariat Mailbox <u>academic.secretariat@federation.edu.au</u>), as evidence of reflective practice and preventative action at the Institute level (as per the Higher Education Standards Framework Section 5.2).



Figure 1 – Academic Misconduct Reporting – Sample Flowchart

Academic suspects misconduct, contacts relevant AIO to discuss evidence Academic and AIO discuss case/s and evidence, where: Box 2. It is determined the Box 3. Evidence suggests Box 1. It is determined the evidence is sufficient to misconduct and warrants evidence is insufficient to proceed, eg. Turnitin further investigation by AIO, proceed with a formal report; reports, and AIO with assistance from the alternate approaches may be recommends a formal academic as required. May report be completed by the require collaborative write-up strategies for students, academic of the formal academic connecting students with misconduct case Learning Skills Advisors (LSA) or other support

Student receives notification and the opportunity to have a hearing scheduled with the AIO to discuss the report

Figure 1- Reference for the Academic Misconduct Reporting – Sample Reporting Workflow (IISS Academic Integrity Officers (AIO) - Scott Nankervis, Craig Hurley, Samudra Jayasekera, Dengsheng Zhang and Leo Brunelle (AI Administrative Officer).

Adapted by Dean, L&T

Version 4.1 – 16.6.23 (Dean, Learning and Teaching)

End of document