

Learning and Teaching Unit Quality Assurance Metrics (2024-2026)

ENDORSED at LTQC Thursday 7 March, 2023

This document sets target quality assurance (QA) targets across the university specific to Higher Education units (excluding Higher Degree by Research Courses/units (as appropriate).

Abbreviations

UC – Unit Coordinator; BOLD – Blended Online and Digital; CC – Course Coordinator; DLT – Director (Learning and Teaching); QA – Quality Assurance

Alignment with National TEACHING EXPECTATIONS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTING TOOL	Criterion 1 Designing and planning of learning activities	Criterion 2 Teaching and support student learning	Criterion 3 Assessment and giving feedback to students on their learning	Criterion 4 Developing effective learning environments, student support and guidance	Criterion 5 Integration of scholarship and professional activities with teaching and in support of learning
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Teacher Review (Checklist A)	Focus is on accessibility of all learning activities. (Area 04)	Focus is on accessibility of all learning resources. (Area 03)	The focus is on the accessibility of all learning information related to assessment. (Area 05)	The focus is on organisation and communication within the learning environment. (Area 01 + 02)	
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Independent Review (Checklist B)	As above (Area 04)	As above (Area 03)	As above (Area 05)	As above (Area 01 + 02)	
Moderation of Assessment Design (Type I)			Focus is on assessment design pre-delivery		
Moderation of Assessment Marking (Type II)			Focus is on fair and consistent marking of assessment tasks		
Moderation of Assessment Practice (Type III)			Focus is on reviewing assessment practices post delivery		
Unit Description Review	Brief overview of student learning journey	Brief overview of student learning journey	Focus on key 'contractual' information for successful completion of unit	Brief overview of student learning journey	



Learning and Teaching Unit Quality Assurance Tools (progressive targets)

		% of units delivered that engage with documented QA tool within a calendar year Current University Minimum Target			
QA tool in fdlGrades	Responsibility	University Procedures	2024	2025	2026
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Teacher Review (Checklist A) This is expected to be completed every 3 rd delivery.	Unit Coordinator or Lecturer	 MANDATED PROCEDURE Course Review Process (mandated every 5 years) as per Review of Established Sealed Award Programs (Higher Education) Procedure [AG1281] – Action 03 SUPPORTING PROCEDURES Higher Education Assessment Procedure [AG1254] – Action 02: Sound design of assessment Action 05: Communicating assessment requirements to students Action 07: Ensuring security of student submitted assessment materials Action 10: Providing students with feedback on assessment. Disability Learning Access Plan (LAP) Procedure [SS1966] Equal Opportunity and Valuing Diversity Guidelines [CG1445] Academic Integrity Procedure [LT1944] Higher Education Examinations Procedure [LT194] 	20%	40%	60%
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Independent Review (Checklist B)	Independent Reviewer	It is important that courses are reviewed independently at least every 3 years.	15%	30%	50%

Moderation of Assessment Design (Type I) This is expected to be completed every 2 nd delivery.	Unit Coordinator + Independent Reviewer	 MANDATED PROCEDURE Higher Education Assessment Procedure [AG1254] – Action 03: Moderation of assessment design (type I) SUPPORTING PROCEDURES Academic Integrity Procedure [LT1944] Higher Education Examinations Procedure [LT1940] 	20%	30%	50%
Moderation of Assessment Marking (Type II) This is expected to be completed with every delivery.	Unit Coordinator	MANDATED PROCEDURE Higher Education Assessment Procedure [AG1254] — Action 08: Moderation of assessment marking (type II).	50%	75%	100%
Moderation of Assessment Practice (Type III) This is expected to be completed every 2 nd delivery.	Unit Coordinator + Independent Reviewer	MANDATED PROCEDURE Higher Education Assessment Procedure [AG1254] — Action 11: Moderation of assessment practice (type III)	15%	30%	50%
Unit Description Review This is expected to be completed with every deliver	Unit Coordinator + Independent Reviewer	All Unit Descriptions must be reviewed independently before the teaching period begins and shared on Moodle shells 2 weeks prior to the commencement of the teaching semester	50%	75%	100%



Learning and Teaching Quality Assurance | Options for Institutes to achieve targets

Quality Assurance tool	University – led directive (Executive Dean)	Institute – led directive (DLT)	Course – led directive (CC)	Teacher – led directive (UC)
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Teacher Review (Checklist A) Unit Coordinator or Lecturer	Course Review Process – Completed for all units in the courses scheduled for a calendar year (5-year cycle) completed as part of Section G – Curriculum Review	Course Renewal Process: Conducted on units that have undergone enhancement (needs identified from Course Review Process) before delivery. Co-op Process – Conducted on all/relevant units pre- process to identify units for co-op enhancement. Co-op Process – Conducted on all/relevant units post- process to ensure standards prior to delivery.	Course Design / Redesign — Complete as a guide to support quality unit design Course Preparation — Course-wide heatmap completed prior to semester delivery to identify and address challenges. Targeted focus area — Course-wide heatmap on a single focus area as part of targeted enhancement or directive.	Unit Design / Redesign – As a guide to support quality unit design (working with or without CAD support) Unit Preparation – Individual unit heatmap completed prior to each semester delivery to identify and address challenges. Peer enhancement – Individual unit heatmap of multiple semester deliveries to show ongoing enhancement.
BOLD Learning and Teaching Practices Independent Review (Checklist B) Independent Reviewer		Course Review Process – Completed on a 100% of units in the courses scheduled for a calendar year (5-year cycle) completed as part of Section G – Curriculum Review Course Renewal or Co-op Process – conducted post Course Review. Any unit enhanced to undergo	Targeted focus area – Course-wide heatmap on a single focus area as part of targeted enhancement or directive.	Peer enhancement – Individual unit heatmap to compare/contrast with Checklist A for purpose of ongoing professional learning.

		independent review prior to next delivery. Unit Ratification – Conducted on units with anomalies identified by Institute DLT or Discipline Lead		
Moderation of Assessment Design (Type I) Unit Coordinator + Independent Reviewer	Moderation of Assessment Manual – All assessment tasks undergo an independent design review every 03 years.	Course check – Rotate independent design review every 3 years discipline by discipline	Course check – Completed every 3 years across all units in a course.	Assessment Redesign – Completed as part of any assessment enhancement. Unit Preparation – Completed prior to semester delivery. Peer enhancement – Individual unit reviews from multiple semester deliveries to show ongoing enhancement.
Moderation of Assessment Marking (Type II) Unit Coordinator	Moderation of Assessment Manual – All assessment tasks undertake marking moderation every semester.			Unit Preparation – Completed prior to each semester delivery
Moderation of Assessment Practice (Type III) Unit Coordinator + Independent Reviewer	Moderation of Assessment Manual – All assessment tasks undergo an independent practice review every 03 years.	Course checks – Rotate independent practice review every 3 years per Discipline	Course checks – Rotate independent practice review every 3 years per Course Build Moderation of Assessment (MoA) III into the process of assessment re design.	Assessment Review – Completed as part of any assessment review to identify enhancements. Unit Preparation – Completed post semester delivery. Peer enhancement – Individual unit reviews from multiple semester deliveries to show ongoing enhancement.

Unit Description Review	Unit Preparation – Completed as part of preparation prior to each semester delivery per Discipline	Unit Preparation – Completed as part of preparation prior to each semester delivery per Course	Peer enhancement – Individual unit reviews from multiple semester deliveries to show ongoing enhancement.
----------------------------	--	--	--

Additional Notes/Considerations

- o This is the first time such targets and percentages have been set to review higher education quality assurance at the unit level
- o These targets will be reviewed during 2024 and adjusted where appropriate.
- These quality assurance measures also relate to the units delivered by partners including *FedOnline*, given all units are owned and quality assurance by Institutes.
- Lead developer, Tulsa Andrews (Centre for Academic Innovation)
- Adjusted, Prof. Nina Fotinatos, Chair, Learning and Teaching Quality Committee.
- Consultation: Occurred with the Institute Directors (Learning and Teaching), Dean, Graduate Research School, Dean, Global Professional School.
- Endorsed and approved: Learning and Teaching Quality Committee Thursday 7 March 2024
- Minor amendments made post meeting. DLT endorsement. Disseminated on FedNews and on website week of Monday 23.4.24.

End of document